

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/768,016	MILLER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Susan T. Tran	1615	

All Participants:

(1) Susan T. Tran.

(2) James C. Abruzzo.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 March 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
of record

Claims discussed:
19-37

Prior art documents discussed:
of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: to place the application in condition for allowance, it was suggested to: 1) delete the redundant limitation appears in either line 6 or 9 of claim 19; 2) amend the phrase "primarily associated" to "mixed"; 3) incorporate claim 30 into claim 28; and amend the specification to delete all the drawings, and file the drawings separately. Applicant instead, proposed to cancel claims 28-37 without prejudice, and would file a supplemental amendment to separate the drawings from the specification.